

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Table of Authorities . . . . .	ii
I. Introduction and Procedural History . . . . .	1
II. There Is No Basis for Reconsideration of Judge Nowinski's Previous Detention Orders . . . . .	3
III. Defendants Should Be Detained as a Severe Flight Risk and Danger to the Community . . . . .	6
A. The Nature and Circumstances of the Offenses Charged . . . . .	7
B. There Is Substantial Evidence Against The Defendants Establishing Their Guilt . . . . .	9
C. Defendants Umer Hayat and Hamid Hayat Have Made Misleading and/or False Statements to Government Officials in the Past . . . . .	13
D. Defendants Face Significant Custodial Sentences Upon Conviction . . . . .	15
E. Even Though Defendants Have Some Ties to the United States, They Have Significant Established Ties to Pakistan . . . . .	15
F. Defendant Umer Hayat Has a Modest Record of Gainful Employment in the United States; Defendant Hamid Hayat Has No Significant Record of Gainful Employment in the United States . . . . .	18
G. Defendants' Criminal History . . . . .	19
H. Defendants Pose a Significant Danger to the Community . . . . .	19
I. Defendants' Proposed Collateral Is Insufficient to Reasonably Assure Their Appearance At Future Proceedings and to Safeguard the Community . . . . .	20
IV. Conclusion . . . . .	23